

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,982	04/25/2001	Gerd Hugo	24580	5343
7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER	
Gary M. Nath			MANLOVE, SHALIE A	
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC 6th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1030 15th Street, N.W.			1755	
Washington, DC 20005			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/840,982	HUGO, GERD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shalie A. Manlove	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 図 Responsive to communication(s) filed on ピルル	<u>4.</u>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-11 and 18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/25/2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori					
application from the International Bureau		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/2001.	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e			
Control and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/840,982 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-16 in the reply filed on August 2, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examining the case would not present a serious burden to the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the different classification of each group is prima facie evidence of a serious burden and didn't show restrictions in error. With respect to species of binders, the synthetic resin binders are classified in different art areas as compared to natural resins. With respect to species of pigments, inorganic pigments, organic pigment and metallic pigments are classified independently from each other. A thorough search of each of the inventions would not necessarily be coextensive and therefore would constitute a serious burden if not restricted.
- 2. The Examiner is treating all the binders and the second pigments as not being patentably distinct but as obvious variants based on Applicant's election.
- Claims 8-11 are withdrawn because the claims are drawn to a non-elected invention.
 The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-7, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/840,982

Art Unit: 1755

6. In claims 1-7, and 12-16, the term "coating", as such is indefinite and misdescriptive. Ex

Page 3

parte Scott 66 USPQ 371. It is unclear as to what is being claimed, composition or coated article.

7. Claims 1-2, 5, 7 and 13 are merely setting forth physical characteristics desired in article,

and not setting forth specific compositions which meet such characteristics, are invalid as vague,

indefinite, and functional since they cover any conceivable combination of ingredients either

presently existing or which might be discovered in the future and which would impart desired

characteristics. Ex parte Slob (PO BdApp) 157 USPQ 172

8. In claim 3, what is meant by "on the basis of"?

9. Claim 12 does not further limit because claim 1 recites composition of the pigments

while claim 12 recites orientation of the pigments.

10. Claim 14 recites the limitation "additional pigments" in line 2. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The Examiner has chosen to treat claims as if drawn to a composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis

for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this

or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

11. Claims 1-2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Binns et

al US 6,287,377.

Application/Control Number: 09/840,982

Art Unit: 1755

Binns discloses in the abstract as well as in claim 5 a tinting concentrate comprising a pigment,

colorant, tinting agent, and /or metal effect agent and a latex or emulsion.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shalie A. Manlove whose telephone number is (571) 272-1372.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 6:30 -4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on (571) 272-1362. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shalie A. Manlove

Examiner

Art Unit 1755

September 11, 2004

C. MELISSA KOSLOW PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4